

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

INITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Addressing, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unipub.ggev

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/375,239	08/16/1999	EZIO MUSSO	P8910-9024	4809
7590 1107/2003 Arent Fox Kintner Plotkin & Kahn 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 600			EXAMINER	
			SERGENT, RABON A	
	C 20036-5339	v	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		A-				
~	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/375,239	MUSSO ET AL.				
-	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rabon Sergent	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or						
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ce later than three months after the mai FR 1.704(b).	ling date of the final rejection, even if				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 22 and 26.						
Claim(s) objected to: 10 and 14-17.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,12,13,23,24 and 27</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
D. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
	/	Rabon Sergent Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1711				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 009/375,239

Continuation of 2.: The proposed amendment raises a 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph issue in that the proposed amendment to claim 10 sets forth two different percent ranges for the quantity of hydrocarbon used.

Continuation of 5.: The rejection and objections have been maintained, because applicants' response is based on amendments that will not be entered. Furthermore, the proposed amendment to claim 10 fails to overcome the prior art rejection, because the subject matter of claim 10 pertains only to species XII and XIII. The proposed amendment to claim 10 has no bearing on the remaining species of the claim. This issue was set forth by the examiner within paragraph 7 of the final Office action.

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER